National PBM Drug Monograph Alvimopan (Entereg[®]) 10 April 2009

VHA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services and the Medical Advisory Panel

The purpose of VACO PBM Services drug monographs is to provide a comprehensive drug review for making formulary decisions. These documents will be updated when new clinical data warrant additional formulary discussion. Documents will be placed in the Archive section when the information is deemed to be no longer current.

Executive Summary 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8

- The FDA-approved indication for alvimopan (Entereg; 12-mg oral capsule) is to accelerate the time to gastrointestinal recovery following partial large or small bowel resection in patients at least 18 years of age.
- Alvimopan is a μ-opioid receptor antagonist that prevents the peripheral GI effects of opioids on motility and secretion without reversing the central analgesia provided. It is the second peripheral opioid antagonist to be approved by the FDA and the only drug approved for improving time to postoperative GI recovery.
- Alvimopan is available only through the Entereg Access Support and Education (E.A.S.E) program, a
 restricted access program. Any hospital that would like to use alvimopan must first register with this
 program and provide educational materials on the proper administration of the medication to the
 clinical staff.
- Alvimopan 12-mg is to be used for a maximum of 15 doses. The first dose must be administered 30 minutes to 5 hours before surgery. Following surgery, alvimopan is dosed twice daily until hospital discharge or maximum of 7 days.
- Alvimopan was studied in four placebo-controlled randomized controlled trials and found to
 moderately decrease time to upper and lower GI recovery with decreases in time to GI recovery
 ranging from 7.5-22 hours. Alvimopan was also shown to decrease time to hospital discharge order
 written by approximately 1 day when compared to placebo.
- Alvimopan demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in the incidence of postoperative ileus in
 two phase three trials with numbers needed to treat (NNT) of 10.5 and 25 respectively. In addition,
 alvimopan was shown to reduce readmission within 10 days for any reason with an NNT of 29 and to
 prevent prolonged hospital length of stay due to complications of postoperative ileus (POI) with a
 NNT of 20. However, alvimopan did not show a statistically significantly reduction in readmission
 within 7 days due to POI.
- Phase III trials demonstrated that alvimopan was safe and well-tolerated. The most common side effects reported with alvimopan which were reported in ≥ 3% of patients treated with alvimopan and for which the rate of events was at least 1% higher when compared to placebo included anemia, constipation, dyspepsia, flatulence, hypokalemia, back pain, and urinary retention.
- In studies for opioid-induced bowel dysfunction (a nonapproved indication), the alvimopan treatment group (0.5mg twice daily for 12 months) had a higher number of myocardial infarctions (7 with alvimopan, 0 with placebo). However, a causal relationship has not been established, and this effect was not noted in studies using larger doses (12-mg) for shorter periods (15 days) for post-operative GI recovery.
- Based on Federal Supply Schedule (FSS) pricing, a treatment course of alvimopan would cost approximately \$700 per patient.
- Conclusions: Alvimopan is currently the only pharmacologic treatment option available for acceleration of time to GI recovery following bowel resection. Alvimopan is first line pharmacologic therapy for acceleration of GI recovery following bowel resection. When used, alvimopan should be used as an adjunct to any current non-pharmacologic treatment options for accelerating GI recovery time, including encouraged mobility, removal of the NGT within one day of surgery, and early re-introduction of liquids and solid foods. Alvimopan is available only through the restricted access program, Entereg Access Support and Education (E.A.S.E).

• **Recommendations:** Alvimopan is to be administered for a maximum of 7 days only to patients undergoing bowel resection and who are scheduled for IV opioid analgesia. Its use should be restricted to general and GI surgery. In addition, a prior approval process should be in place to verify appropriate use of this medication based upon the developed criteria for use and those outlined through the E.A.S.E. program. Hospitals desiring to use alvimopan must register with this program and provide educational material on the proper usage of alvimopan to the clinical staff.

Introduction

The purposes of this monograph are to (1) evaluate the available evidence of safety, tolerability, efficacy, cost, and other pharmaceutical issues that would be relevant for possible addition of alvimopan to the VA National Formulary; (2) define its role in therapy; and (3) identify parameters for its rational use in the VA.

Pharmacology/Pharmacokinetics¹

Alvimopan is a selective antagonist of the μ -opioid receptor with a Ki of 0.4nM which due to its high affinity for this receptor demonstrates slower disassociation when compared to other opioid ligands. Ki is representative of the affinity of the molecule to the target receptor with the strength increasing as Ki decreases. The Ki of naloxone is 3.5 - 4nM, and will, therefore, not displace alvimopan from the GI tract μ -opioid receptors. Through competitive binding to the gastrointestinal μ receptor; alvimopan antagonizes the peripheral effects of opioids on GI motility and secretion without reversing the central analgesic effects of the opioid. Alvimopan also has an active metabolite that has less affinity for the μ -opioid receptor than the parent compound. It is an amide hydrolysis compound that is exclusively a product of intestinal flora metabolism.

Absorption:

- Peak concentration is noted approximately 2 hours following oral administration.
- The absolute bioavailability is approximately 6%.
- No significant accumulation was noted after twice daily dosing.
- Concentrations of alvimopan and its metabolite are higher (1.9 fold and 1.4 fold respectively) in post-operative ileus patients than healthy individuals.
- High fat meals decreased the extent and rate of absorption.
 - o C_{max} and AUC were decreased by 38% and 21% respectively.
 - o T_{max} was prolonged by approximately 1 hour.

Metabolism and Excretion:

- Average plasma clearance is 402 (± 89) mL/min.
- Renal clearance accounts for ~35% of total clearance.
- Biliary secretion is the primary pathway for elimination.
 - o Unchanged and unabsorbed alvimopan following biliary excretion was then hydrolyzed to its metabolite by gut micro flora.
- The mean terminal phase half-life for alvimopan following multiple doses was 10-17 hours.
- The mean terminal phase half-life for its metabolite ranged from 10-18 hours.

Special Populations:

- Age, race, gender, Crohn's disease, and renal impairment were found to have no clinically significant impact on pharmacokinetics of alvimopan.
- In patients with mild hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class A and B), exposure to alvimopan was higher than healthy comparators; however, there were no consistent effects on C_{max} or half-life.
 - o The pharmacokinetics did appear to be more variable in these patients.
- In patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class C), an approximate 10-fold increase in C_{max} was found.

FDA Approved Indication(s) and Off-label Uses 1,2,3

Alvimopan is FDA approved "to accelerate the time to upper and lower gastrointestinal recovery following partial large or small bowel resection surgery with primary anastomosis" in patients

greater than 18 years of age. It is currently being studied for use in opioid-induced constipation at a much lower dose than is currently marketed for bowel resection recovery. At present, any off-label use of alvimopan is prohibited through the Entereg Access Support and Education (E.A.S.E) program.

Current VA National Formulary Alternatives

There are currently no alternative medications approved by the FDA for the prevention of postoperative ileus. While there is no gold standard treatment for post operative bowel recovery, typical interventions are non-pharmacologic including: encouraged mobility, removal of the NGT within one day of surgery, and early re-introduction of liquids and solid foods.

Dosage and Administration¹

Alvimopan is available in capsule formation and is dosed at 12-mg 30 minutes to 5 hours prior to surgery followed by 12-mg twice daily for up to 7 days or until discharge following partial large or small bowel resection. A maximum of 15 doses is allowed for this medication due to safety concerns found in a 12-month study evaluating the use of alvimopan 0.5mg twice daily for the treatment of opioid-induced constipation. An increased rate of myocardial infarctions, bone fractures, and neoplasms was noted in the alvimopan treatment group, but no causal relationship has been established, and these results were not found in short term use following bowel resection surgery.

No dosage adjustment is necessary with patients with mild-to-moderate hepatic disorder (Child-Pugh Class A or B). Alvimopan is not recommended for use in patients with severe hepatic disorder (Child-Pugh Class C) as it can result in a 10-fold increase of plasma levels of alvimopan.

No adjustment is necessary for patients with mild-to-severe renal disease; however, these patients should be monitored closely for adverse events. No studies have been conducted in patients with end-stage renal disease; therefore, use of alvimopan is not recommended.

Efficacy 4,5,6,7,8

Efficacy Endpoints:

- GI-3 was the time to recovery of GI function defined as the later of the following:
 - o Time to first toleration of solid food
 - Time to first bowel movement or first passed flatus
- GI-2 was the time to recovery of GI function defined as the later of the following:
 - Time to first toleration of solid food
 - o Time to first bowel movement
- Time to hospital discharge order written

Summary of Efficacy Results:

- Two randomized controlled trials and a meta-analysis found a statistically significant acceleration in time to GI-3 recovery following bowel resection, ranging from 9.9 to 22 hours.
- The third randomized controlled trial found a 7.5 hour improvement in time to GI-3 recovery, but it was not statistically significant.
- Two phase III trials and a meta-analysis found a statistically significant improvement in time to hospital discharge order written, up to approximately 1 day earlier than patients on placebo.
- The third phase III trial showed an improvement of approximately 7 hours for time to hospital discharge order written in the alvimopan treatment group.
- Improvements in efficacy measures were noted with both the 12-mg and 6-mg alvimopan treatment groups; however, the FDA felt that data was more consistent with the

12-mg results leading to the marketing of only the 12-mg strength since safety was felt to be similar among both active treatment strengths.

For further details on the efficacy results of the clinical trials, refer to *Appendix: Clinical Trials* (page 9).

Adverse Events (Safety Data) 1,3

Serious Adverse Events:

In a 12-month study of alvimopan 0.5mg twice daily for opioid-induced constipation, a higher number of myocardial infarctions was noted in the active group when compared to placebo (7 with alvimopan, 0 with placebo). However, a causal relationship has not been established. The increase in MI was not observed in postoperative ileus patients receiving short-term alvimopan.

In the same 12-month study, a statistically significant difference was noted with benign, malignant, and unspecified neoplasms [p=0.027, 1 placebo patient (<1%), 14 alvimopan patients (3%)]. Also noted in this study was a higher rate of bone fractures in the alvimopan treatment group. The incidence was 1.1% with placebo (3 patients) and 3.7% (20 patients) with alvimopan. However, a causal relationship has not been established between these effects and alvimopan, and the increases in incidence of these events were not noted in post-operative ileus patients being treated short-term.

Common Adverse Events:1

Table 1: Treatment-Emergent Adverse Reactions* Reported in ≥ 3% of patients treated with alvimopan and for which the rate of events was at least 1% higher when compared to placebo

	Bowel Resec	ction Patients	All Surgic	al Patients
	Placebo %	Alvimopan %	Placebo %	Alvimopan %
	(n=986)	(n=999)	(n=1365)	(n=1650)
Anemia	4.2	5.2	5.4	5.4
Constipation	3.9	4.0	7.6	9.7
Dyspepsia	4.6	7.0	4.8	5.9
Flatulence	4.5	3.1	7.7	8.7
Hypokalemia	8.5	9.5	7.5	6.9
Back Pain	1.7	3.3	2.6	3.4
Urinary Retention	2.1	3.2	2.3	3.5

^{*}Defined as an event occurring after the first dose of study and within 7 days of the last dose or events present at baseline, but worsening in severity after the start of the study medication

For further details on the safety results of the clinical trials, refer to *Appendix: Clinical Trials* (page 9).

Precautions/Contraindications¹

Precautions:

- Patients recently exposed to opioids may be more sensitive to the effects of this
 medication and may experience gastrointestinal side effects (e.g., abdominal pain,
 diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting).
 - Caution should be used in patients who have had more than 3 doses of opioids within a week of surgery as these patients were not studied.
- Use in patients undergoing correction of complete bowel obstruction is not recommended.
- As no adequate studies have been completed in pregnancy or lactation, alvimopan should be used cautiously in these patients and only when clearly indicated.

Contraindications:

• Use of alvimopan is contraindicated in patients who have taken therapeutic doses of opioids for 7 consecutive days prior to administration of this medication.

Look-alike / Sound-alike (LA / SA) Error Risk Potential

The VA PBM and Center for Medication Safety is conducting a pilot program which queries a multi-attribute drug product search engine for similar sounding and appearing drug names based on orthographic and phonologic similarities, as well as similarities in dosage form, strength and route of administration. Based on similarity scores as well as clinical judgment, the following drug names may be potential sources of drug name confusion:

LA/SA for generic name a*lvimopan:* Innopran XL 120mg tablet, Aristospan 20mg injection, Eletriptan 20mg tablet, Alprazolam 0.25mg tablet, Almotriptan 12.5 mg tablet, Alphagan P eye drops

LA/SA for trade name *Entereg:* Inderal* 40mg tablet, Entocort EC 3mg capsule, Entecavir 0.5mg tablet. Coreg CR 10mg capsule

* high alert drug per ISMP

Drug Interactions¹

Drug-Drug Interactions:

 Alvimopan has not been shown to affect the pharmacokinetics of other drugs, nor do other medications affect the pharmacokinetics of alvimopan.

Drug-Food Interactions:

- High-fat meals immediately prior to administration have been shown to decrease the oral absorption of alvimopan.
 - However, as most patients will be NPO while on this medication, this has little to no clinical impact on dosing.

Acquisition Costs

Table 2: Cost

Drug	Cost/capsule	Maximum total treatment cost
Alvimopan 12-mg	\$46.46	\$696.83

Pharmacoeconomic Analysis 4,5,6,9,10,11

There are currently no published pharmacoeconomic analyses for alvimopan. However, there is published literature on the economic burden of postoperative ileus and the increased length of stay associated with this complication. The studies found that the economic impact of this surgical complication totals \$1.46 billion annually for the United States. In addition, alvimopan has published outcomes data showing decreased occurrence of postoperative ileus, decreased complications of POI resulting in increased length of stay, and lower readmission rates.

Table 3: Costs to Prevent One Outcome

	Incidence in Placebo group	Incidence in Alvimopan 12mg group	Absolute Risk Reduction	Relative Risk Reduction	Number Needed to Treat	Total Treatment Cost to Prevent One Outcome
Complications of POI resulting in increased length of stay ⁹	7.0%	2.0%	5.0%	71.4%	20	\$13,936.60
Readmission within 7 days due to complications of POI ⁹ *	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	
Readmission within 10 days for any cause ⁹	8.3%	4.9%	3.4%	41%	29	\$20,208.07
Postoperative Ileus						\$7,316.72 -

Wolff, et al ⁶	15.8%	6.3%	9.5%	60.1%	10.5	\$17,420.75
Viscusi, <i>et al</i> ⁵	10.3%	6.3%	4%	38.8%	25	
Delaney, et al 4*						

^{*} Findings not statistically significant

There is currently no consistent data that provides the costs associated with the outcomes listed above. The Wolff, *et al*⁹ did not report an actual decrease in LOS with alvimopan vs. placebo, and it's unclear whether readmission for any cause can be attributed to alvimopan since its use did not show a statistically significant decrease in readmission due to POI complications. Therefore, it is difficult to accurately assess the pharmacoeconomic value of alvimopan 12-mg in terms of preventing the above outcomes. However, in the phase III trials, alvimopan was associated with a hospital discharge order written approximately one day earlier than the placebo treatment group.

According to national VA data, approximately 5000 patients undergo bowel resection surgery annually. Of these patients, it is estimated that 10%-20% would be eligible to receive alvimopan based upon the developed criteria for use and those outlined through the E.A.S.E. program.

Conclusions

Alvimopan is currently the only pharmacologic treatment option available for acceleration of time to GI recovery following bowel resection. Alvimopan is first line pharmacologic therapy for acceleration of GI recovery following bowel resection. When used, alvimopan should be used as an adjunct to any current non-pharmacologic treatment options for accelerating GI recovery time, including encouraged mobility, removal of the NGT within one day of surgery, and early reintroduction of liquids and solid foods. Alvimopan is available only through the restricted access program, Entereg Access Support and Education (E.A.S.E).

Recommendations

Alvimopan is to be administered for a maximum of 7 days only to patients undergoing bowel resection and who are scheduled for IV opioid analgesia. Its use should be restricted to general and GI surgery. In addition, a prior approval process should be in place to verify appropriate use of this medication based upon the developed criteria for use and those outlined through the E.A.S.E. program. Hospitals desiring to use alvimopan must register with this program and provide educational material on the proper usage of alvimopan to the clinical staff.

References:

- 1. Product Package Insert for alvimopan (Entereg). May 2008.
- 2. Alvimopan (Entereg) website. http://www.entereg.com
- 3. Information on File. Alodor Corporation.
- 4. Delaney CP, Weese JL, Hyman NH, *et al.* Phase III trial of alvimopan, a novel, peripherally acting, mu opioid antagonist, for postoperative ileus after major abdominal surgery. Dis Colon Rectum 2005; 48: 1114-1129.
- 5. Viscusi ER, Goldstein S, Witkowski T, *et al.* Alvimopan, a peripherally acting mu-opioid receptor antagonist, compared with placebo in postoperative ileus after major abdominal surgery: results of a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. Surg Endosc 2006; 20: 64-70.
- 6. Wolff BG, Michelassi F, Gerkin TM, *et al.* Alvimopan, a novel, peripherally acting mu opioid antagonist: results of a multi-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of major abdominal surgery and postoperative ileus. Ann Surg 2004; 240(4): 728-735.

- 7. Herzog TJ, Coleman RL, Guerrieri JP, *et al.* A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase III study of the safety of alvimopan in patients who undergo simple total abdominal hysterectomy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006; 195: 445-53.
- 8. Tan EK, Cornish J, Darzi AW, et al. Meta-analysis: alvimopan vs. placebo in the treatment of post-operative ileus. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006; 25: 47-57.
- 9. Wolff BG, Weese JL, Ludwig KA, Delaney CP, Stamos MJ, Michelassi F, *et al.* Postoperative Ileus-Related Morbidity Profile in Patients Treated with Alvimopan after Bowel Resection. J Am Coll Surg 2007; 204(4): 609-16.
- 10. Senagor AJ. Pathogenesis and clinical and economic consequences of postoperative ileus. Am J Health-Syst Pharm 2007; 64: S3-S7.
- 11. Goldstein JL, Matuszewski KA, Delaney CP, *et al.* Inpatient economic burden of postoperative ileus associated with abdominal surgery in the United States. P&T 2007; 32(2): 82-90.

Prepared December 2008 by Rachel Coombe, Pharm.D., Pharmacy Resident, and Monica Schaefer, Pharm.D., Pharmacoeconomics and QA Pharmacist, VAMC-Kansas City. Contact person: Francine Goodman, Pharm.D., BCPS, Clinical Pharmacy Specialist, VHA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services

Appendix: Clinical Trials

A literature search was performed on PubMed/Medline (1966 to September 2008) using the search terms alvimopan and Entereg. The search was limited to studies performed in humans and published in English language. Reference lists of review articles and the manufacturer's AMCP dossier were searched for relevant clinical trials. All randomized controlled trials published in peer-reviewed journals were included.

Citation		IL, Hyman NH, <i>et a</i>	l. Phase III trial of alvinajor abdominal surger					id
Study Goals	This study was desig for patients undergoi		safety and efficacy of a surgery.	alvimopan	in the manage	ement o	of postoperativ	e ileus
Methods	received I dose of m postoperatively or un secondary endpoint violeration of solid for visual analog pain so remained in the hosp Treatment emergistudy medication and Data Analysis Efficacy analysis evaluation of endpoin mean time to event vi	edication at least 2 htil discharged from was defined as time to d, need for reinsertiones. Endpoints wer ital. ent adverse events will up to seven days for swas based on a monts). The time to every as estimated using l	n 1:1:1 fashion to place nours prior to surgery a hospital. The study's p to GI-2. Additional section of NG tube, and we assessed until hospit were those that onset or ollowing the last dose of the oddified intent to treat pent effects were analyzed Kaplan-Meier cumulately assessments and not of the odd of	and then worimary end condary	ere dosed twice dipoint was defind points for the discharge ge or up to 10 following the dication. (patients who Cox proportion P-values we	ce daily ined a e study order. days po first a had at hal haz re calc	y for 7 days s time to GI-3 y were time to Also assessed ostoperatively dministration of least 1 on-treat and models and culated for com	and the first BM, were the if patient of the timent I the parisons
Criteria	primary anastomosis receive postoperative removed at the end of Exclusion criteria - Use of opic - Current see - Pregnancy - Clinically see - Complete to - Total colections	or total abdominal intravenous patient f surgery or the more oid analgesics within vere cardiovascular, significant laborator powel obstruction or tomy, colostomy, or	80 who were scheduled hysterectomy were incorporated opioid and aring of postoperative of 4 weeks of surgery pulmonary, renal, hep y abnormalities in screen inflammatory bowel or ileostomy	cluded. Stilgesia, and day 1. atic, hema cening disease	udy participan I to have the ir tologic, or sys	ts were	e also schedule erative NGT tu	d to bes
Results	Mean Age i Number of W Number of Fe Mean BMI, Efficacy:	n years (Range) /hite Patients (%) male Patients (%) kg/m² (Range)	Placebo n=153 58.6 (30-88) 131 (86)	Alvimo n 58.2 12	ppan 6-mg =150 2 (29-87) 3 (82) 7 (65) 16.9-50.9) Difference fi	Alv 28	vimopan 12-m n=146 57.1 (30-93) 118 (81) 96 (66) 3.4 (18.4-47.5 HR for Alvimopan	ng
	GI-3 GI-2 First BM	Alvimopan 6- mg Mean Time to Event (h) 14.1 15.2	1.45 (1.13-1.85) 1.46 (1.11-1.93) 1.55 (1.18-2.03)	0.003 0.007 0.002	Alvimopan mg Mean Ti to Event (I 7.5	ime	12-mg 1.28 (0.99- 1.64) 1.31 (0.99- 1.73) 1.47 (1.12- 1.94)	0.059 0.057 0.006
	First Solid Food Hospital Discharge Order Written	10	1.30 (1.02-1.66) 1.50 (1.18-1.9)	0.033 <0.001	7.2		1.12 (0.88- 1.43) 1.18 (0.93- 1.5)	0.37
	alvimopar group.	6-mg treatment g	patients were in the p group, and 138 patien requiring reinsertion	nts were i	n the alvimor	oan 12	2-mg treatmei	nt

alvimopan 6-mg, and 7.2% for alvimopan 12-mg. The differences were not statistically significant.

- In addition, no change was noted in pain scores between patients on placebo or active treatment.

Treatment Emergent Adverse Events –reported in ≥ 10% of patients in any treatment group

-reported in 2 i	070 of patients in arry ti	eatment group
Placebo (%)	Alvimopan 6-mg (%)	Alvimopan 12-mg (%)
n=153	n=150	n=146
68	64	58.9
32	25.3	15.1*
15.7	11.3	16.4
11.8	16	11.6
15	12	11.6
9.8	13.3	11.6
12.4	12	10.3
9.2	12.7	12.3
15	8.7	10.3
9.8	11.3	9.6
14.4	8	6.8
11.1	8	8.9
10.5	5.3	10.3
7.2	10	7.5
10.5	8	5.5
	Placebo (%) n=153 68 32 15.7 11.8 15 9.8 12.4 9.2 15 9.8 14.4 11.1 10.5 7.2	n=153 n=150 68 64 32 25.3 15.7 11.3 11.8 16 15 12 9.8 13.3 12.4 12 9.2 12.7 15 8.7 9.8 11.3 14.4 8 11.1 8 10.5 5.3 7.2 10

*P<0.04

- Overall, discontinuations were similar between the placebo and alvimopan 12-mg groups (26.7% and 20.9% respectively); however, only 15.8% of patients discontinued in the alvimopan 6-mg treatment group.
- The number of patients that discontinued treatment due to adverse effects was similar between placebo and alvimopan 12-mg (15% and 16.4% respectively), but was considerably lower in the alvimopan 6-mg group (6.7%).

Conclusions

The authors concluded that alvimopan 6-mg accelerated time to GI recovery and was safe and well tolerated. They found a positive trend with alvimopan 12-mg.

Critique

Jadad Score=3 out of 5

This is a well designed trial that did not provide statistically sound evidence on the use of alvimopan 12-mg. While statistically significant results were found with the 6-mg dosage, the same results were not noted with the 12-mg dosage. One of the largest concerns with this trial in regards to the 12-mg dosage is that often the HR ratio confidence interval crossed 1 which means that an association cannot be determined. In addition, the 12-mg dosage was not tolerated as well as the 6-mg dosage.

This trial would be applicable to our population as it had representation from both genders and included patients that ranged in age from 30-88. However, it is not known if the results were altered based upon the age of the patient. In addition, the majority of patients in the trial were undergoing bowel resection which is the approved indication.

		mizea, Controllea										
Citation	with		rative ileus after						receptor antagonist ed, double-blind, co			
Study Goals		study was designe ents undergoing ma				of alv	rimo	pan in the manage	ement of postoperate	ive ileus for		
Methods	Stud	ly Design										
	dose max of N solid as ti read were patie	e of medication at le imum of 7 days pos GT no later than no I food on day 2. The me to GI-2. Additio iness for discharge the visual analog pant remained in the	east 2 hours prior stoperatively. All on on postopera e study's primary nal secondary er based on recoverain scores. End hospital.	r to su patie tive d endp ndpoin ery of points were	rgery and then nts received a ay 1, offering coint was define nts for the stud GI function alcook were assessed those that ons	were multi- f liquied as y were are are are tor vertex to the contract of the	e dos mod ids a time e tin e tin md w il ho	sed twice daily united and encouraging a to GI-3 and the some to first BM, first written hospital discuspital discharge of sened following the	and 12-mg. Patier ill hospital discharge protocol with schedu mbulation on day 1 econdary endpoint flatus, toleration of charge order. Also a r up to 10 days pose first administration	e or for a led removal , offering of was defined solid food, assessed toperatively		
		Analysis .	•	Ū								
	eval time	Efficacy analysis wation of endpoints). The time to ev	ent e	ffects were and	lyzec	l usi	ng Cox proportion	ad at least 1 on-tre al hazard models a culated for comparis	nd the mean		
Criteria		usion criteria										
	anas	Adults ≥ 18 years of							section with primary /e opioid-based pat			
		Exclusion criteria										
	_		opioids less than	n 1 we	ek before the	study	or c	chronic course les	s than 2 weeks prio	r to the study		
		 Acute course of opioids less than 1 week before the study or chronic course less than 2 weeks prior to the study Pregnancy 										
		 Complete bowe 										
		- Total colectomy						1 24 1				
		-	condition knowi	n or s	uspected to be	asso	ciate	ed with an increas	ed risk of postoper	ative		
Describe	Dem	morbidity. nographics:										
Results	Den	lographics.			Placebo		Αŀ	vimopan 6-mg	Alvimopan 12-mg			
					n=224			n=220	n=221			
		Mean Ag	e in years (Rang	e)	57 (20-93)			56 (21-91)	58 (23-90)			
			White Patients (169 (75.4))		167 (75.9)	175 (79.2)			
			f Male Patients (80 (35.7)	_,		86 (39.1)	80 (36.2)			
	Effic		MI, kg/m² (Range	?)	29.0 (16.8-6	(7)	21	7.9 (16.5-46.8)	28.4 (17.9-70.8)			
	EIIIC	Endpoint	Difference	HR	for Alvimopan	Р	_	Difference from	HR for Alvimopan	P-		
		Liidpoint	from Placebo		mg (95% CI)	Val	ue	Placebo to	12-mg	value		
			to Alvimopan 6-mg Mean Time to Event (h)					Alvimopan 12- mg Mean Time to Event (h)				
					G	-3						
		Unadjusted	7.5	1.2	20 (0.98-1.47)	0.0	80	9.9	1.24 (1.01-1.52)	0.038		
		Adjusted for CVs*	Not reported	1.2	24 (1.01-1.53)	0.0	37	Not reported	1.26 (1.03-1.54)	0.028		
			1		G	-2		<u> </u>				
		Unadjusted	16.4	1.3	37 (1.09-1.74)	0.0	08	13.7	1.33 (1.05-1.68)	0.018		
		Adjusted for CVs*	Not reported		10 (1.11-1.76)	0.0		Not reported	1.36 (1.07-1.72)	0.012		
					Firs							
		Unadjusted	18.7		52 (1.20-1.91) 54 (1.22.1.04)	<0.0		16.2	1.48 (1.18-1.87)	<0.001		
		Adjusted for CVs*	Not reported	1.3	54 (1.23-1.94) First so	<0.0		Not reported	1.52 (1.20-1.91)	<0.001		
		Unadiusted	6.2	1 4		0 1		7.7	1 10 (0 07-1 46)	0.095		

 1.15 (0.94-1.41)
 0.174

 1.19 (0.97-1.46)
 0.090

0.090

Hospital Discharge Order Written

6.2

Not reported

Unadjusted Adjusted for CVs*

0.095

0.077

1.19 (0.97-1.46) 1.20 (0.98-1.47)

7.7

Not reported

Unadjusted	14.2	1.31 (1.07-1.59)	0.008	15.2	1.28 (1.05-1.56)	0.015
Adjusted for CVs*	Not reported	1.36 (1.12-1.66)	0.002	Not reported	1.30 (1.07-1.59)	0.010

^{*}CV= significant covariates included were gender and surgery duration

- In addition, no difference was detected between the placebo and active groups in regards to the pain scores (placebo 61.1, alvimopan 6-mg 62.0, and alvimopan 12-mg 60.4).

Treatment Emergent Adverse Events –reported in ≥ 5% of patients in any treatment group

	Placebo (%)	Alvimopan 6-mg (%)	Alvimopan 12-mg (%)
	n=224	n=220	n=221
Nausea	54	47.7	50.2
Vomiting	25	20	20.8
Pruritis	14.3	10.5	12.2
Pyrexia	13.4	11.4	12.2
Flatulence	12.5	11.8	10.9
Abdominal Distension	12.9	11.8	10.0
Hypotension	10.3	11.8	9.5
Insomnia	8.5	13.2	8.6
Oliguria	12.1	7.3	7.7
Hypertension	10.3	8.2	7.7
Diarrhea	7.6	8.6	7.7
Headache	8.0	9.1	6.8
Hypokalemia	6.7	8.6	6.3
Postoperative Ileus	10.3	5.5	6.3
Body Temperature Increased	8.9	7.3	5.0
Constipation	7.6	4.5	7.2
Postoperative wound infection	7.1	2.7	5.4
Tachycardia	6.7	4.1	3.6
Hypomagnesemia	5.4	5.5	3.2
Dizziness	5.8	3.2	4.1

^{*}p-values not provided

- Overall, discontinuations were lower in both the alvimopan 6-mg and alvimopan 12-mg groups (15% and 17.1% respectively) with 21.4% discontinuing in the placebo group.
- The number of patients that discontinued treatment due to adverse effects was higher with placebo (12.9%) than either treatment group (alvimopan 6-mg =7.7%, alvimopan 12-mg=7.7%).

Conclusions

The authors concluded that alvimopan accelerated the time to GI recovery and was safe and well tolerated.

Critique

Jadad Score=3 out of 5

This is a well designed trial that showed a statistically significant difference in the primary and secondary endpoints when comparing placebo and the 12-mg dosage while adjusting for possible confounding factors including gender and length of surgery. In addition, analgesia was not affected by the use of alvimopan. In this trial, the confidence intervals did not cross 1 when discussing the 12-mg treatment group allowing for greater confidence in the results.

This trial is applicable to our population as it had representation from both genders and included patients that ranged in age from 20-93. It also included subjects from most races. However, it is not known if the results were altered based upon the age of the patient as this was not a covariate for which the results were adjusted. The majority of patients in the trial were undergoing bowel resection which is the approved indication.

Italiaoi			hase III trials								
Citation	results of a	multi-	assi F, Gerkin TI center, randomiz operative ileus. /	zed, d	ouble-blind	d, placeb	o-co	ntrolled, _l			
Study Goals	This study v	vas de	esigned to evalurs for patients ur	ate th	e safety ar	nd efficac	y of	alvimopa	ın in the	e management c	of
Methods	Study Desi		.о .о. рашотно а.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<u> </u>	go.y.			
	dose of mer postoperative included off study's prim GI-2. Addit for hospital reinsertion of postoperative Treatme	dication/ely or ering of ary erional sidischall of NG rely if and emotion of the	ants were rando on at least 2 hou r until hospital di of liquids and er ndpoint was defi secondary endpearge based on G T were also assi- patient remaine ergent adverse of the study medic	rs prices	or to surge ge. All pati aging ambu s time to G or the stud overy, and . Endpoint ne hospital s were thos	ry and the ents receilation on GI-3 and the were time written he were as	en weived day he s he s me tospi sses	vere dose d a multi-t d 1, offerin econdary to first BM tal discha sed until or worse	d twice modal r ng of so r endpo 1, tolera rge ord hospita	daily for 7 days nanagement problem food on day int was defined ation of solid fooder. Pain scores I discharge or upowing the first	otocol which 2. The as time to d, readiness and o to 10 days
	Data Analy										
	treatment e models and calculated f	Efficacy analysis was based on a modified intent to treat population (patients who had at least 1 ontreatment evaluation of endpoints). The time to event effects were analyzed using Cox proportional hazard models and the mean time to event was estimated using Kaplan-Meier cumulative curves. P-values were calculated for comparisons using the Wald chi-squared test. The safety assessments and NGT reinsertion were analyzed using Fisher's exact test.									
Criteria	Inclusion of										
	with primary scheduled t intraoperation	anas o rece ve NG criteri	en the ages of 1 stomosis, or radi eive postoperativ T tubes remove ia tioned	cal to	tal abdomii avenous pa	nal hyste atient-coi	recton trol	omy. Elig led opioid	jible pa I analge	rticipants were a esia, and to have	ilso e the
Results	Demograp	nics:									
		<u> </u>			Place n=1		Α	lvimopan n=155	•	n=165	
			e in years (Rang		60.9 (2			59.3 (19-		61.3 (20-	
			White Patients		131 (134 (86		145 (88	
			emale Patients		79 (0.	68 (44		88 (53)	
	Efficacy:	an Biv	/II, kg/m² (Range	:)	28.7 (16.	7-49.6)	2	7.8 (16.8-	44.2)	27.1 (13.7-	45.9)
	Endpoin		Difference from Placebo to Alvimopan 6-mg Mean Time to Event (h)	Alvin	HR for nopan 6-mg 95% CI)	p-Valu	ie	Differenc Placeb Alvimopa mg Mear to Ever	o to an 12- n Time	HR for Alvimopan 12- mg	p-value
	GI-3		15	1.28	(1.00-1.64)	<0.05	5	22		1.54 (1.21-1.96)	<0.001
	GI-2 Hospital Discharg	е	20 13		(1.07-1.79) (0.98-1.58)	0.013		28 20		1.67 (1.30-2.15) 1.42 (1.12-1.79)	<0.001 0.003
	– Fo 6-г - Th	For all efficacy points, 149 patients were in the placebo group, 155 patients were in the alvimopan 6-mg treatment group, and 165 patients were in the alvimopan 12-mg treatment group. The incidence of NGT reinsertion was significantly lower in the 12-mg treatment group when compared to placebo (4.8% vs. 14.8%, p=0.004).									
	- Th	e incid sult wa	dence of NGT reas not statistical	inser y sigr	tion was al iificant.	so lower	in th	J			
		•	d maximum pos	·	·		ere c	comparab	le amoi	ng the treatment	groups.
	Common T	reatm	nent Emergent		acebo (%)	Alvimop		-mg (%)	Alvimo	opan 12-mg (%)	
					n=165	r	า=16	9		n=176	

	Nausea	64.2	60.9	54.5
	Vomiting	25.5	24.3	19.9
	Hypotension	13.9	13.6	13.6
	Oliguria	15.2	11.8	13.1
	Hypertension	10.9	11.8	12.5
	Pyrexia	13.9	14.2	10.8
	Abdominal Distension	15.2	11.8	10.8
	Tachycardia	13.9	13.0	10.8
	Hypokalemia	17.0	10.7	9.7
	Pruritis	15.8	10.7	7.4
	Postoperative Ileus	15.8	8.3	6.3
	*p-values not pr	ovided		
and	24 patients respective	ely) with 34 pat	ients discontinuing in t	ng and alvimopan 12-mg gr ihe placebo group. erse effects was higher wit

- roups (26
- placebo (26 patient) than either treatment group (alvimopan 6-mg =18 patients, alvimopan 12mg=14 patients).

Conclusions

The authors concluded that alvimopan 6-mg and 12-mg accelerated GI recovery when compared to placebo without affecting postoperative pain scores. In addition, the 12-mg dose shortened time to discharge order written by approximately 1 day.

Critique

Jadad Score= 3 out of 5

This is a well designed trial that provided statistically useful evidence on the effectiveness of alvimopan 12-mg. In this trial, the HR confidence intervals for the 12-mg dosage did not cross 1 providing statistically significant evidence. However, the authors did not include results for all efficacy measure nor did they calculate statistical significance for adverse events. In addition, exclusion criteria were not mentioned so impact of certain patient populations cannot be assessed from these results.

This trial would be applicable to our population as it had representation from both genders and included patients that ranged in age from 19-89. However, it is not known if the results were altered based upon the age of the patient. The majority of patients in the trial were undergoing bowel resection which is the approved indication.

	phase III stud	dy of the sa	., Guerrieri JP fety of alvimop stet Gynecol 2	pan in	patients	who underg					
Study Goals					afety and efficacy of alvimopan in patients tomies (TAH).						
Methods	413 patients hours prior to who were dis The primary secondary er were time to order. Treatme	cicipants we receiving a control surgery are scharged < endpoint wandpoints we first flatus, and emergen	re randomized lyimopan 12-rond then were of 7 days postop as proportion ere defined as time to first BN adverse evedy medication	ng. Pa dosed perative of resp GI-3 a M, tole	tients rec twice dai ely comploonders (and GI-2. ration of	that onset	se of mess postop days of ery of \(\left(\) condary e and writt or worse	edication a peratively. f treatment 60 hours). endpoints f ten hospita	t least 2 Patients t at home. The study's for the stud al discharge ving the firs		
	To meet responded to required. Effi had at least using Cox pr	Data Analysis To meet 95% power for detection of a 20% increase in proportion of responders if 50% responded to placebo, a sample size of 101 placebo patients and 404 alvimopan patients was required. Efficacy analysis was based on a modified intent to treat population (patients who had at least 1 on-treatment evaluation of endpoints). The time to event effects were analyzed using Cox proportional hazard models and the mean time to event was estimated using Kaplan-Meier cumulative curves. The safety assessments were analyzed using Fisher's exact									
	Inclusion criteria Women ≥ 18 year of age who underwent a simple total hysterectomy and who were scheduled for patient controlled opioid analgesia. Exclusion criteria										
	Patients with opioid exposure within 2 weeks of the surgery, complete bowel obstruction, previous or planned colectomy, colostomy, ileostomy, or the prescence of any condition associated with increased postoperative morbidity.										
esults	Demograph	ics:									
						Placebo n=106		vimopan			
				n			∩—⊿1¹₹				
	<u> </u>	Mean Age	in years (Ran	ide)				n=413 1 (24-74)			
			in years (Ran White Patients		43.1	(24-77)	44.	1 (24-74)			
		Number of \	in years (Ran White Patients I, kg/m² (Rang	s (%)	43.1 83		44. 3)		
	Efficacy - Pro	Number of Number of Number of Number	White Patients	s (%) ge) ith alvi	43.1 83 30.3 ((24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati	44. 3 29.2	1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7	<u> </u>		
	Efficacy - Pro	Number of Number BM Mean BM portions of appared to pl	White Patients I, kg/m² (Rang responders wi acebo (75% v	s (%) ge) ith alvi	43.1 83 30.3 (mopan w	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati	44. 3 29.2 stically s	1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7 significant	when		
	Efficacy - Pro com	Number of Number BM Mean BM portions of an ared to pl	White Patients I, kg/m² (Rang responders wi	s (%) ge) ith alvii rs. 71.6	43.1 83 30.3 (mopan w 6 percent Alvimo time to N:	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati) can Mean Event (h) =408	44. 3 29.2 stically s	1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7	when P- value		
	Efficacy - Procom Endpoint	Number of Number of Number of Number of Public Number of Inpared to pl	White Patients I, kg/m² (Rangeresponders with acebo (75% verified Placebo Medium Time to Even N=102 55.4	s (%) ge) ith alvii rs. 71.6	43.1 83 30.3 (mopan w 6 percent Alvimo time to N:	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati) can Mean Event (h) =408 3.5	44. 3 29.2 stically s	1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7 significant ence (h)— 5% CI)	when P- value 0.18		
	Efficacy - Procom Endpoint	Number of Number BM Mean BM portions of an ared to pl	White Patients I, kg/m² (Rangeresponders with acebo (75% vertical Placebo Medium Time to Even N=102	s (%) ge) ith alvii rs. 71.6	43.1 83 30.3 (mopan w 6 percent Alvimo time to N:	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati) can Mean Event (h) =408	44. 3 29.2 stically s Differe (98 -1.9 (1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7 significant ence (h)— 5% Cl) -5.1,1.3) (-26.5, -	when P- value		
	Efficacy - Pro com Endpoint	Number of Mean BM portions of Inpared to pl	White Patients I, kg/m² (Rangeresponders with acebo (75% verified Placebo Medium Time to Even N=102 55.4	s (%) ge) ith alvii rs. 71.6	43.1 83 30.3 (mopan w 5 percent Alvimol time to N:	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati) can Mean Event (h) =408 3.5	44. 3 29.2 stically s Differe (95 -1.9 (-20.2	1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7) significant ence (h)— 5% CI) -5.1,1.3) (-26.5, - 3.9)	P- value 0.18 <0.001		
	Efficacy - Procom Endpoint G First	Number of Mean BM portions of Inpared to pl GI-3 GI-2 t flatus st BM	white Patients I, kg/m² (Rangeresponders with acebo (75% verified Placebo Medium Time to Even N=102 55.4 92.0	s (%) ge) ith alvii rs. 71.6	43.1 83 30.3 (mopan w 5 percent Alvimol time to N:	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati) ban Mean Event (h) =408 3.5	44. 3 29.2 stically s Differe (95 -1.9 (-20.2 1 -4.3 (- -22.2	1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7) (15.3-52.7) significant ence (h)— 5% CI) -5.1,1.3) (-26.5, - 3.9) (7.8, -0.8) (-28.7, - 5.8)	when P- value 0.18		
	Efficacy - Procom Endpoint G First First Hospital	Number of Mean BM portions of Inpared to pl GI-3 GI-2 t flatus	White Patients I, kg/m² (Rang responders with acebo (75% v Placebo Me Time to Even N=102 55.4 92.0 46.7	s (%) ge) ith alvii rs. 71.6	Mopan w S percent Alvimor time to N:	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati) ban Mean Event (h) =408 3.5 11.8	44. 3 29.2 stically s Differe (95 -1.9 (-20.2 -4.3 (- -22.2 1 -1.6 (1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7 significant ence (h)— 5% Cl) -5.1,1.3) (-26.5, - 3.9) -7.8, -0.8) (-28.7, -	P- value 0.18 <0.001 0.039		
	Efficacy - Procom Endpoint G First First Hospital Order	Number of Mean BM Mean BM portions of Inpared to pl GI-3 GI-2 t flatus st BM olid Food Discharge Written	White Patients I, kg/m² (Rang responders with acebo (75% v Placebo Me Time to Even N=102 55.4 92.0 46.7 91.6 51.5 68.6	s (%) ge) ith alvir s. 71.6 ean it (h)	Mopan was percent Alvimor time to N:	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati) can Mean Event (h) =408 3.5 11.8 2.4 9.9	44. 3 29.2 stically s Differe (95 -1.9 (-20.2 1 -4.3 (- -22.2 1 -1.6 (-2.3 (1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7)	P- value 0.18 <0.001 0.039 <0.001 0.46		
	Efficacy - Procom Endpoint G First First Hospital Order	Number of Mean BM Mean BM portions of Inpared to pl GI-3 GI-2 t flatus st BM olid Food Discharge Written	White Patients I, kg/m² (Rang responders with acebo (75% v Placebo Me Time to Even N=102 55.4 92.0 46.7 91.6 51.5	s (%) ge) ith alvir s. 71.6 ean it (h)	Mopan was percent Alvimor time to N:	(24-77) (78.3) 19.6-51.0) as not stati) can Mean Event (h) =408 3.5 11.8 2.4 9.9	44. 3 29.2 stically s Differe (95) -1.9 (-20.2 1 -4.3 (-22.2 1 -1.6 (-2.3 (1 (24-74) 18 (77) (15.3-52.7 significant ence (h)— 5% CI) -5.1,1.3) (-26.5, - 3.9) 7.8, -0.8) (-28.7, - 5.8) -4.8,1.6) -6.5, 1.9)	P- value 0.18 <0.001 0.039 <0.001 0.46		

		N=413		
Dyspepsia	4.7	4.8	0.1%	1000
Dizziness	7.5	8.5	1%	100
Hypertension	5.7	6.8	1.1%	91
Headache	11.3	13.3	2%	50
Diarrhea	3.8	6.1	2.3%	43
Tachycardia	2.8	5.1	2.3%	43
Urinary tract infection	7.5	9.9	2.4%	42
Vomiting	25.5	31.2	5.5%	18
Nausea	63.2	72.2	9%	11
Constipation	31.1	22.8		
Flatulence	18.9	18.4		
Pruritis	15.1	13.3		
Pyrexia	11.3	9.9		
Insomnia	10.4	9.7		
Abdominal distension	10.4	8.2		
Anemia	7.5	5.3		
Hypotension	5.7	4.6		
Back Pain	6.6	3.9		

- Total discontinuations were lower with the alvimopan treatment group than the placebo (8% vs. 11.3%).
- The number of patients that discontinued treatment due to adverse effects was also higher with placebo (4.7%) than the treatment group (3.9%).

Conclusions

The authors concluded that alvimopan had a similar safety profile to placebo and significantly decreased time to lower gastrointestinal recovery following total abdominal hysterectomy.

Critique

Jadad Score=3 out of 5

This trial was a well designed trial that met power for its primary endpoint. However, it did not show a statistically significant difference in the primary endpoint. In addition, many of the confidence intervals for the secondary endpoints crossed zero lowering the statistical value of the results. This trial will also not apply to the cases for which alvimopan will be used in the VA as it is based on TAH, an indication for which alvimopan is not approved and cannot be used for under the restrictions of the E.A.S.E. program. Also, TAH are not as common at the VA as bowel resections so it has a lesser impact upon our population.

Pooled Analyses from Phase III trials

Citation	Wolff BG, Weese JL, Related Morbidity Pro Surg 2007; 204(4): 60	file in Patients Tr								
Study Goals		The goal of the study was to compare the incidence of POI related postoperative morbidity of alvimopan 12-mg to placebo.								
Methods	had been randomized Patients received one surgery and twice dai The study evalua insertion of NG tube p Data Analysis	Study Design This study was a pooled analysis from four phase III clinical trials. A total of 1409 patients who had been randomized to receive either alvimopan 12-mg or placebo were included in the analysis. Patients received one dose of either placebo or the study medication at least 30 minutes prior to surgery and twice daily postoperatively for either 7 days or discharge from the hospital. The study evaluated the incidence of POI-related postoperative morbidity which included the insertion of NG tube postoperatively or POI-related prolonged hospital stay or readmission.								
Criteria	who were scheduled Exclusion criteria	Patients 18 years of age or older undergoing bowel resection with primary anastomosis and who were scheduled for postoperative pain management with IV opioid-based PCA.								
Results	Demographics:	•								
				Placeb		Ivimopan	12-mg			
					n=695 n=714 60.4 60.7					
		Mean Age in years (Range)				60.7				
		Number of Patients ≥ 65yrs (%)			1.9) 308 (43.1)			4		
		Number of White Patients (%)			7)	599 (83.9)				
		of Female Patient		362 (52.		358 (50		4		
	lviea	Mean BMI, $kg/m^2 \pm SD$								
	Endpoint	Alvimopan 12-mg	Place	bo p-valu	ie RRR	ARR	NNT			
	Overall Postoperative morbidity	7.6	15.8	<0.001	51.8%	8.2%	12			
	Post-operati NG –tube insertion		11.5	0.001	42.6%	4.9%	20			
	Re-admission f any reason within days of discharg	or 4.9 10	8.3	<0.01	40.9%	3.4%	29			
	Complications of P resulting prolonged st	OI 2.1 in	6.8	<0.001	69.1%	4.7%	21			
	Complications POI resulting in r admission	of 1 e-	2	0.126	50%	1	100			
Conclusions	The authors concluded can improve patient of					postoper	ative moi	bidity and		
Critique	The mean age of population being over study applicable to th 1409 and showed clir from 4 trials which we	patients included the age of 65. In e VA population. nically and statisti	was 61 additio The an	years of agon, approximally allysis including including including including including and allysis and allysis including including including and allysis and allowed allysis and allowed allowe	e with great ately 49% wed a relative rences. Ho	ere male ely large s wever, th	which ma study san ie data ut	akes this nple at ilized was		

Pooled Analyses from Phase III trials

	Delaney CP Wolff F		agore A I Fort I	3 Wai D at al A	lvimon	an for Postonerative IIe	116	
Citation	Delaney CP, Wolff BG, Viscusi ER, Senagore AJ, Fort JG, Wei D, et al. Alvimopan, for Postoperative Ileus following Bowel Resection: A Pooled Analysis of Phase III Studies. Ann Surg 2007; 245(3): 355-63.							
Study Goals	The study was designed to examine the safety and efficacy of alvimopan in patients undergoing bowel resection.							
Methods	Study Design This study was a pooled analysis from four phase III clinical trials. A total of 1212 patients who had been randomized to receive alvimopan 6-mg, 12-mg or placebo were included in the analysis. Patients received one dose of either placebo or the study medication at least 30 minutes prior to surgery and twice daily postoperatively for either 7 days or discharge from the hospital. The study's primary endpoints were defined as GI-3. Secondary endpoints for the study were GI-2 readiness for hospital discharge and hospital discharge order. Efficacy assessments were performed in the hospital up to 10 days postoperatively. Safety assessments included adverse events, laboratory tests, vital signs, and electrocardiograms. Serious AE's were defined as events that were immediately life-threatening, required intervention to prevent permanent disability, or resulted in prolonged hospital stay or readmission, persistent or significant impairment, or inability to carry out normal life functions. Data Analysis For the efficacy endpoints, treatment effect was assessed for each time-to-event endpoint using Cox proportional hazards model. Hazard ratios and respective p-values were calculated using the chi-squared							
	test. For safety ana	lyses, p-values were	calculated using	g 2-sided Fisher e	exact te	est.		
	Patients at least 18 years of age undergoing partial bowel resection and scheduled for postoperative patient controlled opioid based pain management were included. Exclusion criteria Patients who had taken opioids within 4 weeks of surgery or who were expected to receive epidural opioids, local anesthetics, or NSAIDs (ketorolac) were excluded. Additionally, patients who had severe cardiovascular, renal, pulmonary, hepatic, hematologic, or other systemic diseases were excluded. Patien with complete bowel obstruction, inflammatory bowel disease, prior treatment with vinca alkaloids, or a history of substance abuse were also excluded.							
Results	Demographics:							
	Number of V Number of Fe	Mean Age in years (Range) Number of White Patients (%) Number of Female Patients (%)		Alvimopan 6 n=150 58.2 (29-8 123 (82 97 (65)	37)	Alvimopan 12-mg n=146 57.1 (30-93) 118 (81) 96 (66)		
	Mean BMI, kg/m² (Range) 28.4 (17.3-56.6) 28.2 (16.9-50.9) 28.4 (18.4-47.5)							
	Endpoint Placebo: Time to Event ± SEM (hr)		Difference from placebo to Alvimopan 6-mg (hr) (± 95% CI) -12.4 (-19.7, -5.2)		Difference from placebo to Alvimopan 12-mg (hr) (± 95% CI)		<u> </u>	
	GI-3 GI-2	118.8 ± 2.9 128.1 ± 3.09	-12.4 (-19.7, -5.2) -15.0 (-22.6, -7.3)		-14.8 (-22.1, -7.6) -18.3 (-26.0, -10.7)		_	
	Ready for discharge 126.3 ± 2.87		-14.2 (-21.3,-7.1)		-17.6 (-24.7, -10.6)			
	Discharge Orders 146.8 ± 2.82 Written		-16.0 (-23.1, -8.8)		-18.4 (-25.6, -11.3)			
	Safety -includes all	serious adverse events	and statistically s	ignificant non-serio	us adve	erse events		
			Placebo (%)	Alvimopan 6-mg		Alvimopan 12-mg (%)		
		Nicola	n= 402	n=397		n=413		
	<u> </u>	Nausea	60.2	54.4		51.1*		
	<u> </u>	Vomiting Pruritis	26.1 13.9	22.4 11.1		19.6* 9.4*		
		POI		7.6*		8.0*		

Postoperative abscess** *p<0.05 when compared to placebo

POI

POI**

Postoperative GI disorder NOS

Postoperative wound infection**

7.6*

1.8*

2.8

0.8

1.3

13.9

6.7

3.2

1.7

0.7

8.0*

1.9*

1.9

1.5

0.5

^{**} Serious adverse event defined as those immediately life-threatening, requiring intervention to prevent permanent impairment, or resulting in prolong hospitalization or re-admission, persistent or significant disability, or disruption in ability to carry out normal life functions.

	 Overall, discontinuations were lower in both the alvimopan 6-mg and alvimopan 12-mg groups (13.8 % and 16.9% respectively) with 20.6% discontinuing in the placebo group. The number of patients that discontinued treatment due to adverse effects was also higher with placebo (17.0%) than either treatment group (alvimopan 6-mg =9.6%, alvimopan 12-mg=12.1%).
Conclusions	The authors concluded that alvimopan was safe and effective in accelerating GI recovery time following partial bowel resection.
Critique	The mean age of patients included was 61 years of age with greater than 40% of the study population being over the age of 65. In addition, approximately 49% were male which makes this study applicable to the VA population. The analysis included a relatively large study sample at 1212 patients and showed clinically and statistically significant differences.

Pooled Analyses from Phase III trials

Pooled An	alyses from Phase III trials								
Citation	Senagore AJ, Bauer JJ, Wei D, <i>et al.</i> Alvimopan accelerates gastrointestinal recovery after bowel resection regardless of age, gender, race, or concomitant medication use. Surgery 2007; 142(4): 478-86.								
Study Goals	The study was designed to determine if covariates affect the GI recovery time in alvimopan studies.								
Methods	Study Design This study was a pooled analysis from five phase III clinical trials. A total of 1877 patients who had been randomized to receive either alvimopan 12-mg or placebo were included in the analysis. Patients received one dose of either placebo or the study medication at least 30 minutes prior to surgery and twice daily postoperatively for either 7 days or discharge from the hospital. Trial endpoints were defined as GI-2 and GI-3. Covariates taken into account in this study included, age (<65, ≥65, and ≥75), gender, race (white or non-white), and perioperative use/non-use of GI-targeted antibiotics, mechanical bowel preparation, H₂-receptor antagonists, and/or proton pump inhibitors. Data Analysis								
	was assessed independen	For all analyses, the time to event data was analyzed using Cox proportional hazard models. Each was assessed independently and p-values were based on a chi-squared test. Magnitudes of treatment effects were noted by Kaplan-Meier means.							
Criteria	Inclusion criteria Patients 18 years of age or older undergoing bowel resection with primary anastomosis and who were scheduled for postoperative pain management with IV opioid-based PCA were included. Exclusion criteria Patients with chronic opioid use within 1 week of surgery were excluded. Patients who received epidural anesthesia were discontinued from the study.								
Results		Т	01.0						
		Placebo, hrs (95% CI) n=924	GI-2 Alvimopan, hrs (95% CI) n=953	p-value					
	Overall	117.9 (114.2-121.5)	100.9 (98.0-103.7)	<0.001					
	<65 ≥65	116.6 (111.6-121.6) 119.6 (114.2-124.9)	99.1 (95.4-102.9) 102.9 (98.6-107.2)	≤0.014 ≤0.014					
	≥75	125.1 (115.6-134.7)	104.7 (98.2-111.2)	≤0.014					
	Male	120.8 (115.5-126.0)	102.1 (98.2-106.1)	≤0.014					
	Female	114.8 (109.8-119.9)	99.5 (95.5-103.6)	≤0.014					
	White	117.1 (113.4-120.9)	100.7 (97.7-103.7)	≤0.014					
	Non-White	121.8 (109.7-133.9)	101.9 (93.9-109.9)	≤0.014					
	GI-3								
		Placebo, hrs (95% CI)	Alvimopan, hrs, (95% CI)	p-value					
	Overall	n=924 105.2 (101.8-108.6)	n=953 92.5 (89.8-95.2)	<0.001					
	<65	102.3 (97.8-106.8)	90.1 (86.6-93.5)	≤0.036					
	≥65	108.8 (103.5-114.0)	95.4 (91.2-99.6)	≤0.036					
	≥75	115.7 (106.8-124.6)	97.8 (91.1-104.6)	≤0.036					
	Male	111.0 (105.9-116.0)	94.1 (90.3-98.0)	≤0.036					
	Female	99.5 (95.0-103.9)	90.7 (87.0-94.5)	≤0.036					
	White	104.2 (100.7-107.7)	92.1 (89.2-94.9)	≤0.036					
	Non-White 111-8 (99.8-123.8) 95.5 (87.5-103.5) ≤0.036								
Conclusions	alvimopan signific	cantly reduced time to 0	me frames were provided b GI-2 and GI-3 (p<0.001) wh y reduced GI-recovery time	en compared to	placebo.				
	The authors concluded that alvimopan significantly reduced GI-recovery time following bowel resection independently of confounding factors.								
Critique	being over the age of 65. I to the VA population. The showed clinically and statis	n addition, approximate analysis included a rela stically significant differ	of age with greater than 40 sly 49% were male which matively large study sample a ences. However, the authono validity may be assessed.	akes this study a at 1877 patients ors did not provid	applicable and de times				

Meta Analysis

Citation	Tan EK, Cornish J, Darzi AW, et al. Meta-analysis: alvimopan vs. placebo in the treatment of post-
	operative ileus. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006: 25: 47-57

Study Goals	The meta-analysis was designed to compare alvimopan with placebo after bowel resection or total abdominal hysterectomy.								
Methods	Study Design Five trials met criteria to be included in this meta-analysis. The outcomes looked at were recovery of gastrointestinal tract function (GI-3 and GI-2), and treatment emergent adverse events. This analysis also looked at the post operative pain scores assessed by the visual analog scale between 0 and 100.								
	Data Analysis For the efficacy measures, hazard ratios were reported with 95% confidence intervals. A hazard ratio of >1 favored the alvimopan group. For the safety measures, odds ratios were calculated and an OR >1 showed higher incidence anticipated in the alvimopan group. Study quality was assessed using the Jadad Score based on patient selection, comparability of study groups, and assessment of the								
Criteria	Inclusion criteria Studies included in this me the outcome measures, cle the operation as bowel rese	outcomes. All 5 trials received 5 out of 5 stars. Inclusion criteria Studies included in this meta analysis had to compare alvimopan to placebo, report on at least one of the outcome measures, clearly document the drugs administered and dosages and clearly distinguish the operation as bowel resection or total abdominal hysterectomy.							
	Exclusion criteria Studies were excluded if outcomes were not clearly reported or if it was impossible to calculate or extract data from the published results.								
Results	Efficacy								
	Endpoint	HR for Alvimopan 6-mg (95% CI)	P-Value	HR for Alvimopan 12- mg	P-value				
	GI-3	1.50 (1.14-1.96)	0.003	1.30 (1.16-1.45)	<0.001				
	GI-2	1.58 (1.22-2.04)	<0.001	1.61 (1.26-2.05)	<0.001				
	First BM	1.60 (1.32-1.93)	<0.001	1.74 (1.29-2.35)	<0.001				
	First Solid Food	1.58 (1.04-2.38)	0.03	1.14 (1.01-1.30)	0.04				
	Ready For Discharge	1.40 (1.19-1.63)	<0.001	1.26 (1.13-1.40)	<0.001				
	Treatment Emergent Eve								
	Event	OR for Alvimopan 6-mg (95% CI)	P-Value	OR for Alvimopan 12- mg	P-value				
	Postoperative Ileus	0.68 (0.35-1.33)	0.26	0.58 (0.33-1.04)	0.07				
	Nausea	0.82 (0.64-1.05)	0.11	0.90 (0.60-1.35)	0.60				
	Vomiting	0.79 (0.60-1.05)	0.10	0.73 (0.47-1.14)	0.17				
	Abdominal distension	0.79 (0.55-1.12)	0.19	0.79 (0.57-1.09)	0.15				
	Constipation	0.55 (0.32-0.94)	0.03	0.76 (0.46-1.27)	0.30				
	Flatulence	0.84 (0.53-1.34)	0.47	0.83 (0.58-1.19)	0.30				
	Pruritis Hypotension	0.83 (0.52-1.32) 0.97 (0.66-1.43)	0.44 0.89	0.79 (0.53-1.16) 0.86 (0.58-1.27)	0.23 0.45				
	Hypertension	1.03 (0.68-1.57)	0.88	1.01 (0.66-1.54)	0.43				
	Pyrexia	0.90 (0.62-1.32)	0.60	0.80 (0.57-1.13)	0.30				
	Tachycardia	0.88 (0.56-1.39)	0.60	0.74 (0.46-1.18)	0.20				
	Insomnia	0.95 (0.32-2.85)	0.93	0.84 (0.56-1.28)	0.42				
		1.28 (0.80-2.04)							
	 In addition, the fives studies showed no statistically significant difference in the postoperative pain scores. 								
Conclusions	The authors concluded tha efficacious at 6-mg with little	t alvimopan was a well tolerate e added benefit from the 12-m	g dosage.	• •					
Critique	This was a well designed meta-analysis that included results on strengths of studies, heterogeneity of the study results, and a funnel graph to illustrate the improbability of publication bias. In addition, this meta-analysis is consistent with the previously reported trials suggesting that alvimopan is safe and well tolerated. The patients included in the trials are representative of our population. However, this does include data from total abdominal hysterectomies which is not an approved indication and may lead to some alteration of the results in practice as these patients will not be treated with this medication.								